EXHIBIT 36

US District Court - Delaware Chapter 11 - W.R. Grace FINAL - November 8, 2007 Victor Roggli, M.D.

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CHAPTER 11

IN RE:

W.R. GRACE & CO., ET AL.,

Debtors.

Case No. 01-1139 (JFK)
Jointly Administered

DEPOSITION OF

Victor L. Roggli, M.D.

November 8, 2007

Durham, North Carolina

Lead: Barbara Harding, Esquire

Firm: Kirkland & Ellis, LLP

FINAL COPY

JANE ROSE REPORTING 1-800-825-3341

JANE ROSE REPORTING 1-800-825-3341 janerosereporting.com US District Court - Delaware Chapter 11 - W.R. Grace

25

Q.

FINAL - November 8, 2007 Victor Roggli, M.D.

Page 102

1 And it's still your opinion today, as I read the, "Chest," article, that mesothelioma is a dose-dependent 2 3 disease; correct? A. I believe it follows a dose-response relationship. Yes. 5 Okay. And you've previously testified that there is 6 Q. a threshold of asbestos fiber burden that must be exceeded to 7 8 attribute a mesothelioma to asbestos exposure, and that is 9 still your opinion today; correct? A. I don't know that I've ever said that. I typically 10 say that there's no level or threshold yet been identified 11 below which mesothelioma will not occur in humans. 12 Q. Well, actually, I think -- I think this was a 13 question that went to the asbestos fiber burden. In fact, 14 you've previously testified under oath, "There is" -- quote, 15 "There is a threshold of asbestos fiber burden that must be 16 exceeded to attribute a mesothelioma to asbestos exposure," and 17 that was from Hermes v. Owens Corning Fiberglass. 18 Yes. 19 Α. Do you still agree with that? 20 Q. 21 In terms of what we find in the lung, in order for me to attribute a mesothelioma case to asbestos, the level 22 needs to be above what the background range of values happen to 23 24 be.

Okay. What evidence, epidemiological or otherwise,

US District Court - Delaware Chapter 11 - W.R. Grace

FINAL - November 8, 2007 Victor Roggli, M.D.

Page 136

Q. Have you -- have you attempted to calculate or -- or 1 do an analysis to determine whether or not the -- the 2 difference in rates in women are statistically different or 3 not? 4 I have not done an independent calculation of that 5 A. particular curve. And because mesothelioma is a rare disease. 6 7 and because it's less common in women than in men, and because low-dose exposures are the Achilles' heel of epidemiological 8 studies. I wouldn't be surprised if that curve is not 9 statistically significant different from a flat curve. 10 But I think it is -- it is deceiving to say that 11 that means that there has been no effect on mesothelioma rates 12 in -- in women, because that -- the changes that are present 13 there are not statistically significant. I don't think that --14 I think that's a deceptive way to look at the data. 15 Have you examined -- there's data on the occurrence 16 and incidence, prevalence of mesothelioma in other geographic 17 18 locations; correct? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Okay. There's data on mesothelioma in women and men 21 in other locations; correct? Yes. 22 Α. 23 Okay. Have you examined other data sources for an understanding of the background rate of mesothelioma? 24 I think -- I think we discussed that, as I recall, 25